

Participatory Trade-off Analysis for UK Fisheries Management Plans

Policy Briefing: Resilience of Coastal Communities (ROCC) Project

The UK's Fisheries Act 2020 emphasises greater involvement of the fishing industry in decision-making. Approaches and tools are needed that engage the fishing industry in understanding and deliberating the distribution of costs and benefits (trade-offs) of potential management measures in Fisheries Management Plans (FMPs). The Plymouth Fishing and Seafood Association (PFSA) collaborated with SMMR Resilience of Coastal Communities (ROCC) researchers to pilot a participatory trade-off analysis tool: Marine Planning Trade-off Analysis (MaPTA). This policy briefing presents the benefits and limitations of deploying MaPTA in fisheries management planning and the results and recommendations from a case study of the bass FMP.

1. MaPTA approach

MaPTA¹ is a structured discussion on the acceptability of trade-offs arising from management measures:

- I. Assess the status of fish stocks, ecosystem features and the wellbeing of stakeholder groups.
- II. Assess the distribution of positive and negative effects (trade-offs) of management measures.
- III. Deliberate the acceptability of the trade-offs.
- IV. Identify response options and alternative measures that mitigate potential ecological and social harms.

2. Bass FMP case study

- The Bass FMP is one of the first to be developed under the Fisheries Act (2020).
- At a workshop in October 2024, 16 commercial bass fishers assessed the trade-offs of two hypothetical bass management measures: removal of the authorization system and the 5% trawl bycatch limit.
- Participants then proposed and assessed alternative management measures

Trade-offs of proposed bass management measures

Current status:

- Bass stock and associated ecosystem features perceived to be healthy in contrast to scientific assessments.
- Hook and line fishers particularly vulnerable due to recent pollack ban.
- Fixed netters struggling under current catch limits.
- Trawlers doing well, but technology efficiency could be improved.

Trade-offs: removal of bass authorisation

- Benefit of reduced barriers for new entrants to the fishery and more equitable access opportunities.
- Risk that authorised vessels will be devalued and individual catch limits and market prices reduced due to increased supply.

Trade-offs: Removal of 5% bycatch limit for trawls

- Reduce wasteful discards and improve operational efficiencies of trawls, and lower prices for consumers from increased supply.
- Risk that trawls target bass and out-compete other fishing methods on price of bass, and may require reduced catch limits across all gears to maintain stock sustainability.

¹Fortnam, T., Chaigneau, T., Evans, L., 2022. Marine Planning Trade-off Analysis (MaPTA): Facilitator Pack. University of Exeter, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2022.08.010



3. Benefits of MaPTA

- Enhanced understanding of stakeholder perceptions of trade-offs.
- Identification of acceptable compromise solutions.
- Potential for improved transparency and trust- and empathy-building.
- Reveals complex factors influencing perceptions of fairness.
- Develops a shared understanding of difficult choices in FMPs.

4. Limitations and risks of MaPTA

- Resource requirements, including skilled facilitation and time (1 day).
- Outputs are determined by who participates in MaPTA. Trawlers were underrepresented and recreational sectors absent at the workshop.
- Making trade-offs explicit potentially exacerbates conflict among stakeholders.
- Scepticism about whether inputs would influence decisions, reflecting wider mistrust in fisheries governance.

5. Recommendations

- Use participatory trade-off approaches like MaPTA in broader FMP stakeholder engagement processes.
- Streamline the MaPTA process for practicality in wider implementation.
- Ensure balanced representation across all gear types and sectors.
- Involve scientific experts to answer stock and ecological questions in real-time.
- Establish clear pathways to policy influence, including in-person participation of decision-makers.

Alternative bass management measures

While agreeing to keep certain regulations in place to promote bass stock recovery, the following additional measures were discussed.

1. Multiple gear types with equal catch limits

- Trawlers and fixed netters permitted to catch more while hook and line fishers catch less.
- Considered a necessary step toward fairness by some.

2. Species diversification and gear flexibility to offset impacts

- Increase access to bluefin tuna and pollack.
- Further research on sustainability of bluefin tuna fishery needed.
- Allow multiple gear types to be used, but only for under-8m to mitigate risk of overfishing.

3. Modified bycatch management

- Increase bycatch limit for trawls or permit vessels to rollover the 5% across multiple trips.
- Strikes balance between addressing discards and protecting inshore fishers.

4. Fixed net regulation adjustment

- Increase bycatch limits and allow fixed netters to intentionally target bass with increased mesh sizes.
- Net replacement unaffordable and increased bycatch could affect other commercial species.